# Adaptation and growth response in some varities of rice under salinity stress

# Wan Arfiani Barus<sup>1</sup> and Rosmayati<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agrotechnology, Amir Hamzah University, Medan, Indonesia and PhD Student of Sumatera Utara University. <sup>2)</sup>Department of Agrotechnology, Sumatera Utara University, Medan, Indonesia. Contact Person : arfiani.barus@yahoo.co.id, tanjungrosmayati@yahoo.co.id

**Abstract.** Aim of this research is to get genotif that has the best growth and high adaptability in saline soil. Response of growth and adaptation were tested of 30 genotypes of rice. 30 genotypes respectively were observed and classified by the criteria : sensitive, moderate, tolerant and very tolerant by using a scoring method (salt injury score). Observation of growth and production showed that genotif IR 42 had the best growth and production as compared with other genotif.

Key words : Rice, Salinity, Adaptation, Growth

# Introduction

Salinity is becoming a serious problem in several parts of the world. The saline area is three times larger than land used for agriculture (Binzel and Reuveni, 1994). Salinity is one of the key environmental factors that limit crop growth and agricultural productivity. Total area under salinity is about 953 million ha covering about 8 per cent of the land surface (Singh, 2009). Several physiological pathways, i.e., photosynthesis, respiration, nitrogen fixation and carbohydrate metabolism have been observed to be affected by high salinity (Chen, Chen and Wang, 2008). Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important crops in the world and is the primary stable food for over two billion people. With the rapid growth in population consuming rice and the deteriorating soil and water quality around the globe, there is an urgent need to understand the response of this important crop towards these environmental abuses. With the ultimate goal to raise rice plant with better suitability towards changing environmental inputs, intensive efforts are on worldwide employing physiological, biochemical and molecular tools to perform this task.

Abiotic stress is the main factor negatively affecting crop growth and productivity worldwide. Rice plants are relatively susceptible to soil salinity as an abiotic stress (Flowers and Yeo, 1989 and Gao, Chao and Lin, 2007). Salt-affected soil is one of the serious abiotic stresses that cause reduced plant growth, development and productivity worldwide (Siringam *et al.*, 2011). In Iran, salinity has already become a major deterrent to crop production, including rice. Addition of salts to water lowers its osmotic potential, resulting in decreased availability of water to root cells. Salt stress thus exposes the plant to secondary osmotic stress, which implies that all the physiological responses, which are invoked by drought stress, can also be observed in salt stress (Sairam *et al.*, 2002). Growth and yield reduction of crops is a serious issue in salinity prone areas of the world (Ashraf, 2009). Water-deficit and salt affected soil are two major abiotic stresses which reduce crop productivity, especially that of rice, by more than 50% world-wide (Mahajan and Tutejan, 2005; Nishimura *et al.*, 2011). Salinity is one of the important abiotic stresses limiting rice productivity. The capacity to tolerate salinity is a key factor in plant productivity (Momayezi *et al.*, 2009).

Rice, most loved cereal of Asia, feeds the majority of the world's population. More than 90% of the world's rice is grown and consumed in Asia where 60% of the earth's people and about two-thirds of the world's poor live (Khush and Virk, 2000). Green revolution helped to solve the world's demand for food, but is not enough to meet the 21st century's exploding population. Improved rice varieties and hybrids developed by institutes throughout the world including IRRI have helped to improve the quality and quantity of rice production.

About 6.5% (831 million ha) of the world's total area (12.78 billion ha) is affected by salt in soils (FAO). Area under salt stress is on the increase due to many factors including climate change, rise in sea levels, excessive irrigation without proper drainage in inlands, underlying rocks rich in harmful salts *etc.*, Vast areas of land are not utilised due to salinity and alkalinity problems.

Screening of germplasms at seedling stage is readily Rice is the staple food of more than 50% of the it provides reproductive stage. Screening under controlled rice production

will be needed over that of year 2000, condition has the benefit of reduced environment effects Salinity is one of the major obstacles in increasing and the hydroponic system is free difficulties associated production in rice growing areas worldwide, which is an with soil related stress factors. The conventional methods ever-present threat to crop yield. Therefore, development of plant selection for salt tolerance are not easy because of salt tolerant varieties has been considered as one of the of the large effects of the environment and low narrow strategies to increase rice production.

Salinity appears to affect two plant processes water relations and ionic relations. During initial exposure to salinity, plants experience water stress, which in turn reduces leaf expansion. During long-term exposure to salinity, plants experience ionic stress, which can lead to premature senescence of adult leaves (Amirjani, 2011). Salinity has three potential effects on plants: Lowering of the water potential, Direct toxicity of any Na and Cl absorbed, Interference with the uptake of essential nutrients (Flowers and Flowers, 2005)

## Materials and Methods

Thirty rice genotypes were used in this study, Banyuasin, Batanghari, Dendang, Indragiri, Punggur, Martapura, Margasari, Siak Raya, Air Tenggulang, Lambur, Mendawak, Sei Lalan, Way Apo Buru, inpari 2, inpari 3, inpara 10, IR 42, IR 64, Ciherang, Mekongga, Fatmawati, CSR 9012, BW 267-3, IR 72593, IR 67075, IR 72049, IR 63731, IR 59418, IR 71829 dan IR 29 a widely grown variety with moderate tolerance of salt stress (Moradi *et al.*, 2003). Studies were carried out at Desa Tanjung Rejo, Dusun Paluh Merbau, Kecamatan Percut Sei Tuan, Kabupaten Deli Serdang, Sumatera Utara from February 2011 till Agustus 2011. Randomized Block Design (RBD) non factorial was used with three replications having a plot size of 2m × 2m. Sowing of 24 hours soaked seed was done. All the other agronomic practices were not use in this experiment.

## **Results and Discussion**

#### Germination Percentage (%) and Salt Injury Score (SIS)

Sei Lalan ( $V_{15}$ ) and Lambur ( $V_{19}$ ) showed high germination rate (93%) and had lower score in salt injury score than others (SIS = 1). This result showed that  $V_{15}$  and  $V_{19}$  more tolerant than other varieties in germination phase. Based on the descriptions that have been recommended by the Rice Research Institute Sukamandi, Sei lalan is one of the varieties that are tolerant to salinity stress (dhl = up to 4 mmhos).

Sei Lalan (V<sub>15</sub>) and IR 42 (V<sub>18</sub>) showed high germination rate (> 90%) and had lower score in salt injury score than others (SIS = 1). this result showed that V<sub>15</sub> and V<sub>18</sub> more tolerant than other varieties in germination phase. Based on the descriptions that have been recommended by the Rice Research Institute Sukamandi, Sei lalan is one of the varieties that are tolerant to salinity stress (dhl = up to 4 mmhos).

	Table 1	. Germination F	Percentage of	30 Variet	ies of Rice		
Variety	Germina	tion Percentage	Variety Germination Per			entage	
		(%)				(%)	
$V_1 = Indragiri$		74	$V_{16} =$	BW-267-3			0,3
V <sub>2</sub> = IR 29		4	$V_{17} =$	Mekongga			7.1
V <sub>3</sub> = Martapura	62		$V_{18} = IR 42$			94	
V <sub>4</sub> = Banyuasin	81		$V_{19} = Lambur$	-		92	
V <sub>5</sub> = IR 72593	0,2		$V_{20} = IR64$			41	
$V_6 = Punggur$		22	$V_{21} =$	Fatmawat	i		17
$V_7 = CSR 9012$	0,3		$V_{22} = IR 637$	31		0	
V <sub>8</sub> = Margasari	69		$V_{23} = Inpari2$	2		13	
$V_9 = Ciherang$		83	$V_{24} =$	Dendang		78	
V <sub>10</sub> = IR 67075	0,2		$V_{25} = Inpara$	10		9	
V <sub>11</sub> = Mendawak		32	V <sub>26</sub> =	IR 59418			0
V <sub>12</sub> = Air Tenggulang		30	$V_{27} =$	Siak Raya		29	
V <sub>13</sub> = IR 72049	0,2		V <sub>28</sub> = Inpari	3		0	
V <sub>14</sub> = Batanghari		64		IR 71829			0
V <sub>15</sub> = Sei Lalan	93		$V_{30} = Way A$	po Buru		0	

Variety Grade	Score	Grade	Variety		Score
$V_1 = Indragiri$	3	Tolerant	V <sub>16</sub> = BW-267-3	9	Highly susceptible
$V_2 = IR 29$	7	Susceptible	$V_{17} = Mekongga$	9	Highly susceptible
$V_3 = Martapura$	3	Tolerant	$V_{18} = IR 42$	1	HighlyTolerant
$V_4 = Banyuasin$	3	Tolerant	$V_{19} = Lambur$	3	Tolerant
$V_5 = IR 72593$	9	Highly Susceptible	$V_{20} = IR64$	7	Susceptible
$V_6 = Punggur$	7	Susceptible	$V_{21} =$ Fatmawati	7	Susceptible
V <sub>7</sub> = CSR 9012	9	Highly Susceptible	$V_{22} = IR 63731$	9	Highly Tolerant
V <sub>8</sub> = Margasari	3	Tolerant	V <sub>23</sub> = Inpari 2	7	Susceptible
$V_9 = Ciherang$	3	Tolerant	$V_{24} = Dendang$	3	Tolerant
V <sub>10</sub> = IR 67075	9	Highly Susceptible	V <sub>25</sub> = Inpara 10	9	Highly susceptible
V <sub>11</sub> = Mendawak	5	Moderat	$V_{26} = IR 59418$	9	Highly susceptible
$V_{12}$ = Air Tenggulang	5	Moderat	V <sub>27</sub> = Siak Raya	7	Susceptible
V <sub>13</sub> = IR 72049	9	Highly Susceptible	$V_{28} =$ Inpari 3	9	Highly Susceptible
V <sub>14</sub> = Batanghari	3	Tolerant	$V_{29} = IR 71829$	9	Highly Susceptible
V <sub>15</sub> = Sei Lalan	1	Highly Tolerant	$V_{30} =$ Way Apo Buru	9	Highly Susceptible

#### Table 2. Salt injury score of 30 genotypes of rice

#### Vegetatif Fase and Generative Phase

The results showed that in vegetatif phase of Batanghari ( $V_{15}$ ) and IR 42 ( $V_{18}$ ) had better growth than others. It can seen in root volume variable and number of productive tillers. Sairam and Tyagi (2004) states that the excess amount of salt in the soil can affect plant growth and development. The process of growth such as seed germination, seedling growth, vegetative growth and number of tillers. Salt stress had reducing effect on leaf area of vegetative phase. Data from Vegetatif Fase was showed on Table 3.

Table 3. Leaf Area, Number of Chlorophyl, Volume of I	Root, Total Number of
Tiller and Total Number of Productive Tiller of	10 Genotypes of
Rice	

Variety	Leaf Area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Number of Vo Chlorophyl (mm²)	lume of Root T (ml) in 9 WAT	Fotal Number of Tiller of	Total Number Productive Tiller
$V_1 = Indragiri$	10.98	25.42	58.67	33.59 de	11.52 b
$V_3 = Martapura$	15.45	27.49	63.67	72.06 ab	4.62 c
$V_4 = Banyuasin$	12.84	31.91	70.67	58.69 bc	18.64 a
V <sub>8</sub> = Margasari	15.47	33.97	42.33	70.66 ab	2.47 bc
$V_9 = Ciherang$	14.63	29.87	79.67	55.07 bcd	7.97 b
$V_{14} = Batanghari$	12.81	25.67	69.33	68.31 abc	19.55 a
$V_{15} = Sei Lalan$	9.25	23.02	77.67	46.78 cde	2.13
$V_{18}^{10} = IR 42$	9.40	29.93	82.00	77.87 a	18.21 a
$V_{19}^{10}$ = Lambur	14.38	30.80	73.33	70.78 ab	6.37 c
$V_{24} = Dendang$	15.52	32.26	47.33	32.93 e	4.16 c

IR 42 (V<sub>18</sub>) and Batanghari (V<sub>14</sub>) showed the best growth in the generative phase than Martapura (V3), Margasari (V<sub>8</sub>), Sei Lalan (V<sub>15</sub>) and Lambur (V<sub>19</sub>) in the parameters were observed for the percentage of empty grain, seed weight per plot and weight of 10 seeds . Low production and high percentage of empty grain can occur due to very high salt stress resulted in the absorption of water not available so vulnerable to water shortages.

Furthermore, water stress also occurs in salt stressed plants. These circumstances lead to various disorders in plants, among others, the slow flowering, abscission of flowers and empty grain. Lubis (2009) and Sairam and Tyagi (2004) found that excess salt in the growing media (soil) can affect plant growth and development, smaller leaf area (leaf rolling occurred) and this condition made photosyntesis process was not optimal. Data from Generatif Fase was showed on Table 4 dan Table 5.

Variety	Percentage of Empty Grain Per Panicle		Weight of Seed Per Plot			Weight of 10 Seed Per Plant	
$V_1 = Indra$	agiri	97.95		0.17 c		0.07 b	
$V_3 = Mart$	apura	33.33		0.01 c		0.01 d	
$V_4 = Bany$	ruasin	93.97	1.13 ab			0.10 a	
$V_8 = Marg$	asari	33.33	0.01 c			0.01 d	
V <sub>9</sub> = Cihe	rang	98.72		0.33 b	C	0.10 a	
$V_{14} = Bata$	nghari	91.53		0.90 b	C	0.10 c	
V <sub>15</sub> = Sei L	_alan	65.60		0.07 c		0.03 b	
$V_{18} = IR 42$	<sub>18</sub> = IR 42 58.24		1.60 a			0.07 c	
$V_{19} = Laml$	bur	65.00		0.20 bc		0.03 c	
$V_{24} = Dence$	dang	65.80	0.13 cd		d	0.07 b	
		Table 5. H	lerita	bility Va	lues		
No.	Caracter	Va	lue (	H) Crit	eria (H)		
1.	Leaf Are	a (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 0	.13	low			
2.		ıyll number (cm²)		0.12	low		
3.		of Root (ml)		0.60	High		
4.	Total Nu	mber of tiller		0.65	High		
5.	Total Nu	mber of productive tiller		0.63	High		
6.	Weight o	of Seed per plot (g)		0.50	High		

Table 4.	Percentage of Empty Grain Weight of Seed Per Plot and	Weight of
	10 Seed Per Plot of 10 Genotypes of Rice	

### Conclusions

Screening of 30 genotypes has got 10 varieties based on "salt injury score" (Gregorio, 1994), namely: Indragiri (V<sub>1</sub>), Martapura (V<sub>3</sub>), Banyuasin (V<sub>4</sub>), Margasari (V<sub>8</sub>), Ciherang (V<sub>9</sub>), Batang (V<sub>14</sub>), Sei Lalan (V<sub>15</sub>), IR 42 (V<sub>18</sub>), Lambur (V<sub>19</sub>) and Dendang (V<sub>24</sub>). IR 42 (V<sub>42</sub>) showed had the best growth and better adaptation than other varieties

#### References

- Ali, Y., Aslam, Z., M. Y. Ashraf and G. R. 2004. Effect of Salinity on Chlorophyll Concentration, Leaf Area, Yield and Yield Components of Rice Genotypes Grown Under Saline Environment. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. Vol. 1, No : 3, pp. 221 – 225.
- Ali, Y. and Z. Aslam. 2005. Use of Environmental Friendly Fertilizers in Saline and Saline Sodic Soils. International Journal Environment Science Technology. Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 97-98, Spring . Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology, Faisalabad, Pakistan.

Amirjani, Muhammad Reza. 2011. Effect of NaCl on Some Physiological

Parameters of Rice. EJBS : 3(1) : 06 -16.

Ashraf, M. 2004. Some Important Physiological Selection Criteria For Salt Tolerance in Plants. Flora, 199 : 361-376.

Balitpa. 2010. Deskripsi Varietas Padi. Balai Besar Penelitian Tanaman Padi, Sukamandi.

Binzel and M. Reuveni, "Cellular Mechanisms of Salt Tolerance in Plant Cells", Hortic. Rev., Vol. 16, 1994. pp. 33-70.

Chen, J. Y. Chen and S. J. Wang, "Molecular Regulation of Starch Accumulation in Rice Seedling Leaves in Response to Salt Stress", *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, Vol 30, (2), 2008. pp. 135-142.

FAO. 2005. 20 Things on salinity. http://www.fao.com. [30 Januari 2009].

Flowers, T. J. 2004. Improving Crop Salt Tolerance. Journal Exp. Botany., 55, 307-319.

Flowers, T. J. and A. R. Yeo, *Effects of Salinity on Plant Growth and Crop Yield*, in *Environmental Stress in Plants*, J. H. Cherry, Editor. 1989, Springer Verlag: Berlin. p. 101-119.

Gao, J. P, D. Y. Chao, and H.-X. Lin, "Understanding Abiotic Stres Tolerance Mechanisms : Recent Studies on Stress Response in Rice", *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, Vol 49, (6), 2007. pp. 742-750.
Gregorio, G. B. *et al.*, "Progress in Breeding for Salinity Tolerance and Associated Abiotic Stresses in

Gregorio, G. B. *et al.*, "Progress in Breeding for Salinity Tolerance and Associated Abiotic Stresses in rice", *Field Crops Research*, Vol 76, 2002. pp. 91-101.

Sairam, R.K. and A. Tyagi, 2004. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Salinity Stress Tolerance in Plants. Curr. Sci., 86: 407-421.

Singh, G. 2009. "Salinity - Related Desertification and Management Strategies : Indian Experience Land Degrad Develop, Vol 20, 2009. pp. 367-385.
Yeo, A. R. and T. J. Flowers, "Varietal Differences of Sodium Ion in Rice Leaves", Physiol. Plant., Vol 59,

Yeo, A. R. and T. J. Flowers, "Varietal Differences of Sodium Ion in Rice Leaves", Physiol. Plant., Vol 59, 1983. pp. 189-195.